## Classification of Small TOI in Highly Cluttered Areas

Fridon Shubitidze, *Dartmouth College*/ White River Technologies, Benjamin Barrowes, ERDC CRREL, Irma Shamatava, White River Technologies, e-mail: <u>fridon.shubitidze@dqartmouth.edu</u> e-mail: <u>Benjamin.E.Barrowes@erdc.dren.mil</u> e-mail: <u>shamatava@whiterivertech.com</u>







## Outline

- ESTCP demo site at Fort Ord, CA
- EMI data inversion and classification approaches
- Ft. Ord TOI-s
- Results
  - ROC curves
  - Lessons learned
  - Comparisons between classification performances
- Conclusions

## ESTCP demo site at Fort Ord, CA



#### **Objectives:**

- Classify all large munitions, such as 155mm projectiles, to a depth of 2 feet.
- Demonstrate if all TOI can be confidently classified within low to highest metal anomaly density areas at Fort Ord, CA.

#### Fort Ord Soil

- Consists marine sandstones with iron concentrations.
- No Noticeable impact on the EMI sensor data .

## Fort Ord, CA TOI

#### Main goal

- Classify all large (>106 mm) TOI to a depth of 2 feet.
- Keep at least 90% clutters in ground

#### Secondary goal:

Classify all TOI-s. Keep at least 75% clutters in ground











| ΤΟΙ | 20 mm | ISO | 37mm | 35 mm | 40mm | 60 mm | ISO M | 75 mm | 81 mm | 105mm | 4.2 inch | 155 mm |
|-----|-------|-----|------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|--------|
| #   | 3     | 4   | 11   | 3     | 87   | 1     | 7     | 185   | 3     | 1     | 3        | 33     |

## EMI sensor

- > The sensor has  $3 T_x$ -s &  $7 R_x$  cubes
- > Multiple angle illumination
- Good spatial resolution
- Operates in both cued and survey modes
- Receives vector field

T<sub>x</sub> coils: 3 orthogonal 1 m × 1 m rectangular loops Receivers: 7 tri axial receiver cubes



## Target density at Fort Ord, CA



## Multi targets



## Forward Models

#### ONMVS

The scattered EMI field is approximated as magnetic field from groups of interacting dipoles using an orthonormalized function expansion:

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \overline{\psi}_{q}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \boldsymbol{b}_{q},$$

where

$$\overline{\overline{\psi}}_{q}(\mathbf{r}) = \overline{\overline{G}}_{q}(\mathbf{r}) - \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \overline{\overline{\psi}}_{k}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \overline{\overline{A}}_{qk};$$

First it determines b<sub>q</sub> from the measured data without solving a linear system of equations, then it backs up m<sub>i</sub>

> Uses total ONVMS/effective polarizabilities for classification

#### Multi dipole mode

The scattered EMI field is approximated as superposition of magnetic fields from each individual dipoles, using the Green's dyadic function:

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_v} \overline{\overline{G}}_i(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \boldsymbol{m}_i$$

where

$$\overline{\overline{G}}_{i}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi R_{i}^{3}} \left( 3\overline{R}_{i} \ \overline{R}_{i} \ -\overline{\overline{I}} \right) ; \ \overline{R}_{i} = \mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}$$

- *m*<sub>i</sub> are determine from the measured data by solving a linear system of equations.
- Uses individual dipoles for classification

## Data inversion







## Fort-Ord, CA classification result

The primary objective : Classify all large munitions, such as 155mm projectiles, to a depth of 2 feet.



- The primary objective was achieved.
- At the dig stop point classification analyst was able to achieve:
  - ➤ 100 % efficiency
  - > 90 % false positive rejection rate.

## Extracted Classification features for Fort-Ord, CA Large TOIs to a depth 2 feet



## Inversion's Robustness





Time [µ sec]

## Fort-Ord, CA classification result

The secondary objective : Classify all type munitions within low to highest metal anomaly density areas.



- All, but three small, TOIs were classified correctly.
- At the dig stop point classification analyst was able to achieve:
  - ➢ 99.2 % efficiency
  - ➢ 76 % false positive rejection rate.

## Leeson 1: Placement of a sensor near to an anomaly continuous to be a problem Anomaly #10738



### Leeson 2:Complete library is needed Anomaly #20504, 20633



# Lesson 3. Documenting the intrusive results is an important



## Cost Savings



## Conclusions:

- The advanced classification methods are applicable for highly cluttered sites.
- Our approach was able to classify all large TOI as well as majority of small TOI on the site.
- There were three false negatives, which were due to
  - sensor-to-target separation distances;
  - insufficient library data;
- The independently scored classification results showed that our classification approach was able to provide superior classification result.

### Acknowledgments:

This work was supported by the ESTCP Project # MR-201227.